REPORT OF THE AUDITOR-GENERAL TO THE KWAZULU-NATAL PROVINCIAL LEGISLATURE AND THE COUNCIL ON THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND PERFORMANCE INFORMATION OF MSINGA MUNICIPALITY FOR THE YEAR ENDED 30 JUNE 2009

REPORT ON THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Introduction

I have audited the accompanying financial statements of Msinga Municipality which
comprise the statement of financial position as at 30 June 2009, the statement of
financial performance, the statement of changes in net assets and the cash flow
statement for the year then ended, and a summary of significant accounting policies
and other explanatory notes, as set out on pages 16 to 45.

The accounting officer's responsibility for the financial statements

2. The accounting officer is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with the basis of accounting determined by the National Treasury, as set out in accounting policy note 1 and in the manner required by the Local Government: Municipal Finance Management Act, 2003 (Act No. 56 of 2003) (MFMA) and for such internal control as the accounting officer determines is necessary to enable the preparation of financial statements that are free from material misstatements whether due to fraud or error.

The Auditor-General's responsibility

- As required by section 188 of the Constitution of the Republic of South Africa, 1996
 read with section 4 of the Public Audit Act, 2004 (Act No. 25 of 2004) (PAA) and
 section 126(3) of the MFMA, my responsibility is to express an opinion on these
 financial statements based on my audit.
- 4. I conducted my audit in accordance with the International Standards on Auditing and General Notice 616 of 2008, issued in Government Gazette No. 31057 of 15 May 2008. Those standards require that I comply with ethical requirements and plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance on whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.
- 5. An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgement, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

- 6. Paragraph 11 et seq. of the Standard of Generally Recognised Accounting Practice, GRAP 1 Presentation of Financial Statements requires that financial reporting by entities shall provide information on whether resources were obtained and used in accordance with the legally adopted budget. As the budget reporting standard is not effective for this financial year, I have determined that my audit of any disclosures made by the Msinga Municipality in this respect will be limited to reporting on non-compliance with this disclosure requirement. Consequently, a decision has been taken that the AGSA will not audit the budget information presented in the financial statements in terms of GRAP 1 and the audit opinion will, therefore, not cover such information.
- 7. I believe that the audit evidence I have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for my audit opinion.

Opinion

8. In my opinion the financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Msinga Municipality as at 30 June 2009 and its financial performance and its cash flows for the year then ended, in accordance with the basis of accounting determined by the National Treasury, as set out in accounting policy note 1 and in manner required by MFMA.

Other matters

Without qualifying my opinion, I draw attention to the following matters that relate to my responsibilities in the audit of the financial statements

Unaudited supplementary schedules

10. The municipality provided supplementary information in Appendix E1 to E2 to the financial statements on whether resources were obtained and used in accordance with the legally adopted budget, in accordance with GRAP 1 Presentation of Financial Statements. The supplementary budget information and other supplementary information set out on pages 46 to 47 does not form part of the financial statements and is presented as additional information. I have not audited these schedules. Accordingly I do not express an opinion thereon.

Non-compliance with applicable legislation

Property Rates Act

11. The delay by the municipality in implementing the Property Rates Act, Act 6 of 2004 was not supported by the exemption required by section 18(1) of the Municipal Property Rates Act, 2004 (Act No. 6 of 2004).

Key governance responsibilities

12. The MFMA tasks the accounting officer with a number of responsibilities concerning financial and risk management and internal control. Fundamental to achieving this is the implementation of key governance responsibilities, which I have assessed as follows:

No.	Matter	Υ	N
Clear trail of supporting documentation that is easily available and provided in a timely manner			
1.	No significant difficulties were experienced during the audit concerning delays or the availability of requested information.		
Quality of financial statements and related management information			
2.	The financial statements were not subject to any material amendments resulting from the audit.		
3.	The annual report was submitted for consideration prior to the tabling of the auditor's report.		
Timeliness of financial statements and management information			
4.	The annual financial statements were submitted for auditing as per the legislated deadline [section 126 of the MFMA].		
Availability of key officials during audit			
5.	Key officials were available throughout the audit process.		
Development and compliance with risk management, effective internal control and governance practices			
6.	Audit committee		
	The municipality had an audit committee in operation throughout the financial year.		
	The audit committee operates in accordance with approved, written terms of reference.		
· Paul control	 The audit committee substantially fulfilled its responsibilities for the year, as set out in section 166(2) of the MFMA. 		
7.	Internal audit		
	The municipality had an internal audit function in operation throughout the financial year.		
	The internal audit function operates in terms of an approved internal audit plan.		
	 The internal audit function substantially fulfilled its responsibilities for the year, as set out in section 165(2) of the MFMA. 		
8,	There are no significant deficiencies in the design and implementation of internal control in respect of financial and risk management.		
9.	There are no significant deficiencies in the design and implementation of internal control in respect of compliance with applicable laws and regulations.		
10.	The information systems were appropriate to facilitate the preparation of the financial statements.		

No.	Matter	Υ	N
11.	A risk assessment was conducted on a regular basis and a risk management strategy, which includes a fraud prevention plan, is documented and used as set out in section 62(1)(c)(i) of the MFMA.		
12.	Delegations of responsibility are in place, as set out in section 79 of the MFMA.		
Follov	v-up of audit findings		
13.	The prior year audit findings have been substantially addressed.		
14.	SCOPA resolutions have been substantially implemented.		
Issues relating to the reporting of performance information			
15.	The information systems were appropriate to facilitate the preparation of a performance report that is accurate and complete.		
16.	Adequate control processes and procedures are designed and implemented to ensure the accuracy and completeness of reported performance information.		
17.	A strategic plan was prepared and approved for the financial year under review for purposes of monitoring the performance in relation to the budget and delivery by Msinga Municipality against its mandate, predetermined objectives, outputs, indicators and targets [section 68 of the MFMA].		
18.	There is a functioning performance management system and performance bonuses are only paid after proper assessment and approval by those charged with governance.		

13. Governance policies and practices do not operate effectively and appropriately. The municipality has substantially complied with the key governance responsibilities. Weaknesses with respect to the risk management, audit committee, including performance reporting and performance management needs to be addressed to improve adherence to the governance framework.

OTHER REPORTING RESPONSIBILITIES

Reporting on performance information

14. I was engaged to review the performance information.

Responsibility of the accounting officer

15. In terms of section 121(3)(c) of the MFMA, the annual report of a municipality must include the annual performance report of the municipality prepared by the municipality in terms of section 46 of the Local Government: Municipal Systems Act, 2000 (Act No. 32 of 2000) (MSA).

Responsibility of the Auditor-General

- 16. I conducted my engagement in accordance with section 13 of the PAA read with General Notice 616 of 2008, issued in Government Gazette No. 31057 of 15 May 2008 and section 45 of the MSA.
- 17. In terms of the foregoing my engagement included performing procedures of a review nature to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence about the performance

- information and related systems, processes and procedures. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgement.
- 18. I believe that the evidence I have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for the review findings reported below.

Findings on performance information

Non-compliance with regulatory requirements

Lack of implementation of a performance management system

19. The municipality did not implement a framework that describes and represents how the municipality's cycle and processes of performance planning, monitoring, measurement, review, reporting and improvement will be conducted, organised and managed, including determining the roles of the different role players, as required in terms of regulations 7 and 8 of the Municipal Planning and Performance Management Regulations, 2001.

No reporting of performance information

20. The municipality did not prepare an annual performance report reflecting the performance of the municipality and of each external service provider in terms of section 46 of the MSA and section 121(3)(c) of the MFMA.

Content of integrated development plan

21. The Integrated Development Plan (IDP) does not contain the general key performance indicators and performance targets as required by the MSA.

APPRECIATION

22. The assistance rendered by the staff of the Msinga Municipality during the audit is sincerely appreciated.

Pietermaritzburg

30 November 2009

auctor- General



Auditing to build public confidence